

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/667,248		ADAM, MICHAEL	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Mary Hoffman		3731	

**All Participants:**

(1) Mary Hoffman.

(2) Thomas Daly.

**Date of Interview:** 29 September 2005

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Eduardo Robert.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 2:00pm

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*A provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Species C, Figs. 8-12, claims 1 and 10-17.*


  

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**EDUARDO C. ROBERT**  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

\_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)